Barrington Moore, Jr., the distinguished author of a great many books, graduated in 1936 from Williams College in Williamstown, Massachusetts. This essay examines some of the educational roots of his intellectual orientations and remarkable intellectual productivity.

Williams College was chartered by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in 1793 on an extension of a 1755 bequest of $9,297 from Colonel Ephraim Williams. Williams, the commander of a Massachusetts militia unit, paused at Albany on his way to his death at the
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Battle of Lake George during the French and Indian War in order to write his last will and testament. Williams mandated the establishment of a free school in the township that had hosted the militia unit he commanded, provided that the township, then in dispute between New York and Massachusetts, fall in the latter state, and provided that the town be re-named after him. Throughout the better part of the nineteenth century, the College educated young men mostly from New England, training the sons of local gentry to become clergymen, lawyers, physicians, and teachers serving Vermont, New York, and western Massachusetts.

The ethos, and enduring ideology and public face of the College, was set by Mark Hopkins (1802-1887) who taught at Williams College from 1830-1887 and was its president from 1836-1872. In his inaugural address as president, Hopkins said: "We are to regard the mind, not as a piece of iron to be laid upon the anvil and hammered into any shape, nor as a block of marble in which we are to find the statue by removing the rubbish, nor as a receptacle into which knowledge may be poured; but as a flame that is to be fed, as an active being that must be strengthened to think and to feel -- and to dare, to do, and to suffer." All students graduating from Williams during Hopkins's tenure as president had to take his famous senior course on morals, leading the ill-fated James A. Garfield, Class of 1856, briefly President of the United States before his assassination in 1881, to say famously that "[t]he ideal college is Mark Hopkins on one end of a log and a student on the other."

The College became a national institution toward the end of the nineteenth century as more and more of its fiercely loyal alumni (the College had founded the first Society of Alumni in the world in 1821) became men of affairs. By 1906, of all New England colleges, Williams had the largest representation of students from states outside the region.

Moore entered Williams in 1932, after attending the St. George's School in Newport, Rhode Island, from 1926-1932. The Williams that he came to still bore Hopkins's mark. There were less than 1000 students, all male, organized socially into fraternities, taught by talented faculty almost all of whom came from Ivy League schools, all dedicated to college teaching many for a lifetime. Moore says: "Through a combination of family influence and those of good teachers . . . I wanted to be a professor of classics." He chose to attend Williams in part because of its renowned and stiff classics requirement. The College had only a few years before (1925) completed a review of its curriculum and re-dedicated itself to the idea of producing liberally
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educated young men. Eschewing the notion of general education then in vogue at Harvard and elsewhere, the Williams curriculum did not require students to take fixed courses, except for a Latin requirement for all students. But the College did insist that students concentrate deeply in the areas that they chose to pursue.

At Williams, Moore continued his thorough education in the classics begun at St. George's. He majored in Latin. Including honors work, he took twelve Latin courses in four years (out of a total of forty courses, five each semester). After the basic drillings of introductory Latin in his freshman year, complete with readings of Caesar and Cicero, he read the comedies of Plautus and Terence, as well as the odes, epodes, epistles, and satires of Horace in his sophomore year. In junior year, he read the works of Tacitus, Suetonius, Catullus, Vergil, and Ovid. In his senior year, he surveyed highlights of Latin literature from the earliest surviving texts to the end of the Augustan age (14 AD). Moore also took eight courses in Attic Greek, beginning with courses in elementary grammar and progressing to reading the New Testament, the Homeric poems, Plato's Apology and Crito, Aristophanes's The Clouds, Demosthenes, Thucydides, as well as several plays by Sophocles and Euripides, though not, it seems, by Aeschylus. In his junior year, Moore took a year-long course in the social, political, economic, and military history of the Greek and Roman civilizations. The course ranged from the origin of the Greek city states through the Roman conquest of Greece, then from the age of the Gracchi brothers to the era of Theodosius, and on to an analysis of the Roman foundations of European civilization. Students were required to consult the original Greek sources and encouraged to consult those in Latin. Throughout his time at Williams, Moore was deeply influenced by two classicists, Maurice W. Avery and John V. A. Fine, who taught him, he says, "a great deal that was important beyond the subject matter."6

During his senior year, Moore took a year-long course on medieval civilization with Richard Ager Newhall. According to the Williams Catalog for 1935-1936, the course surveyed the "cultural, institutional, economic, and political development of Europe and the Mediterranean basin from the decline of the Roman empire to the Renaissance," complete with analyses of the rise of the papacy, monasticism, and feudalism, as well as of Islam and the caliphate and the crusades.

Moore rounded out his college studies with a variety of courses. In addition to a scattering of offerings in biology and physics, he studied relatively advanced French modern drama and novels, including some difficult works of Balzac. He took a year-long course on rhetoric offered by the English department that surveyed the principles of composition and did a detailed analysis of exposition and narrative, with treatments of the essay, the novel, the biography, and narrative poetry. He also took a year-long course in fine arts, studying architecture, painting, and sculpture from ancient Egypt to the Italian Renaissance.

Moore graduated with Final Honors in Latin, and also received the 1936 Rice prize in Greek. He had earlier won the 1934 Benedict prize in Latin and the 1935 Benedict prize in Greek. He was a member of the Classical Society for all four of his College years, serving in his senior year as the society's president.

But while continuing the rigorous classical training that Williams offered, Moore decided early on that his interests lay in social science. In his freshman year at Williams, Moore took a general year-long political science course with Charles Fairman. Fairman introduced Moore to
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the work of William Graham Sumner (1840-1910), and to that of Albert Galloway Keller (1874-1956), Sumner's student, collaborator, editor, and successor in the social sciences at Yale University. Separately and together, these two scholars produced a large body of work that, according to Moore, was crucial in shaping his life-long interest in the problems of authority, social inequality, religious fanaticism, systematic obfuscation, revolution, war, totalitarianism, persecution, and other causes of human misery. But Sumner and Keller were quite different scholars. Sumner, as Arthur J. Vidich and Stanford M. Lyman have convincingly argued, never fit the category of a born-again Herbert Spencer with which he has been labeled. Sumner was scarcely a complacent evolutionist. Indeed, he confronted the issues of his time with both a hard-


headed skepticism and a passionate moralism, entirely appropriate for addressing the grave realities unfolding in American society in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Keller, on the other hand, though he shared many of his mentor's views, particularly a belief in the ultimate absurdity of all religions, seems to have gotten caught up in the then-fashionable fad of evolutionary institutional analysis that for many years afflicted social science, anthropology in particular. In such a framework, the analyst does a "comparative analysis" of particular institutional forms as manifested in all the societies throughout the world, locating those forms, lower to higher, along a continuum of human development.  

After graduating from Williams, Moore went to Yale University where he received his Ph.D. in sociology. He says that his early college interests in authority and inequality caused him to look, while in graduate school, at the "near absence of such arrangements among the Eskimo and the pygmies of the Ituri forest."12

Moore's recent book, *Moral Purity and Persecution in History*, bears the mark of Sumner's morally-based tradition of social science. In this book, Moore takes as his problem nothing less than the question: why do people kill and torture one another with such systematic regularity? To get at this issue, he examines the origins of the notions of moral purity and contamination in ancient Judaism, ideas that later informed the other two great monotheistic religions of Christianity and Islam. He goes on to analyze the use of those ideas, often in secularized form, to squash dissonance of all sorts under the rubric of ridding the world of evil. The focus on primeval symbolic meanings as engines of social behavior and worldviews alike reflects not only Freud and Durkheim in their anthropological modes, but also the work of contemporary symbolic anthropologists like Mary Douglas.13 But Moore's book also illustrates other important habits of mind that inform his entire oeuvre. To argue the importance of
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symbolic moral purity, he provides detailed historical analyses of the sixteenth-century French wars of religion, where Catholics and Protestants vied with one another in demonstrating bloodthirsty zealotry, of the cauldron of ideological purity that was the French Revolution, the cataclysmic event that foreshadowed much of the state-directed barbarity of the twentieth century, and of the effects that peculiarly Western religious self-righteousness has had on major religions of Asia. Moore's wide-ranging grasp of different epochs is the product of a lifetime of feeding the flame of his curiosity, kindled by the classical liberal arts education of his youth, about how the intricacies and subtleties of language, culture, social structure, and history shape institutional forces and biographies to create sometimes fantastic social worlds.
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